Effect of Two Temperatures and Thermal Phase-lags in a Thick Plate due to a Ring Load with Axisymmetric Heat Supply
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Abstract: The present investigation concerns thermomechanical interactions in a homogeneous isotropic thick plate in the light of the two-temperature thermoelasticity theory with dual phase lag due to a ring load. The upper and lower ends of the thick plate are traction free and subjected to an axisymmetric heat supply. The solution is obtained by using Laplace and Hankel transform techniques. The analytical expressions of displacement components, stresses, conductive temperature, temperature change and cubic dilatation are computed in a transformed domain. The numerical inversion technique has been applied to obtain the results in the physical domain. Numerically simulated results are depicted graphically. The effect of thermal phase-lags and two temperatures are shown on the various components. Some particular cases of the result are also deduced from the present investigation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Classical Fourier heat conduction law implies an infinitely fast propagation of a thermal signal which is violated in the ultra-fast heat conduction system due to its very small dimensions and short time scales. Catteno [1] and Vernotte [2] proposed a thermal wave with a single phase lag in which the temperature gradient after a certain elapsed time was given by \( q + \tau_q \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = -k \nabla T \), where \( \tau_q \) denotes the relaxation time required for thermal physics to take account of a hyperbolic effect within the medium. Here when \( \tau_q > 0 \), the thermal wave propagates through the medium with a finite speed of \( \sqrt{\alpha/\tau_q} \), where \( \alpha \) is thermal diffusivity. when \( \tau_q \) approaches zero, the thermal wave has an infinite speed and thus the single phase lag model reduces to the traditional Fourier model. The dual phase lag model of heat conduction was proposed by [3] \( q + \tau_q \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = -k (\nabla T + \tau_t \frac{\partial \nabla T}{\partial t}) \), where the temperature gradient \( \nabla T \) at a point \( P \) of the material at time \( t + \tau_t \) corresponds to the heat flux vector \( q \) at the same time at the time \( t + \tau_q \). Here \( k \) is thermal conductivity of the material. The delay time \( \tau_t \) is interpreted as that caused by the microstructural interactions and is called the phase lag of temperature gradient. The other delay time \( \tau_q \) is interpreted as the relaxation time due to the fast transient effects of thermal

Chen and Gurtin [13], Chen et al. [14] and Chen et al. [15] have formulated a theory of heat conduction in deformable bodies which depends upon two distinct temperatures, the conductive temperature \( \phi \) and the thermo dynamical temperature \( T \). For time independent situations the difference between these two temperatures is proportional to the heat supply, and in the absence of heat supply the two temperatures are identical. For time dependent problems the two temperatures are different regardless of the presence of heat supply. The two temperatures \( T, \phi \) and the strain are found to have representations in the form of a travelling wave plus a response, which occurs instantaneously throughout the body (Boley and Tolins [16]). The wave propagation in the two temperature theory of thermoelasticity was investigated by Warren and Chen [17]. Youssef [18], constructed a new theory of generalized thermoelasticity by taking into account the two-temperature generalized thermoelasticity theory for a homogeneous and isotropic body without energy dissipation. Several researchers studied various problems involving two temperature, e.g. (19-27).


In this investigation, the thermoelastic interactions for the dual phase lag heat conduction in a thick circular plate due to a ring load is studied in the light of the two temperature thermoelasticity theory. The components of displacements, stresses, conductive temperature, temperature change and cubic dilatation are computed numerically. Numerically computed results are depicted graphically. The effect of dual phase lag and two temperature are shown on the various components.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

The basic equations of motion, heat conduction in a homogeneous isotropic thermoelastic solid with dual phase lag and two temperature in the absence of body forces, heat sources are

\[
(\lambda + \mu) \nabla (\nabla \cdot u) + \mu \nabla^2 u - \beta_1 \nabla T = \rho \ddot{u}
\]

and the constitutive relations are

\[
\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu e_{ij} + \delta_{ij}(\lambda e_{kk} - \beta_1 T)
\]

\[
\rho T_0 S = \left(1 + \tau_q \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) (\rho C_E T + \beta_1 T_0 e_{kk})
\]

Where \( \lambda, \mu \) are Lame’s constants, \( \rho \) is the density assumed to be independent of time, \( u_{ij} \) are components of displacement vector \( u \), \( K \) is the coefficient of thermal conductivity, \( C_E \) is the specific heat at constant strain, \( T \) is the absolute temperature of the medium, \( \sigma_{ij} \) and \( e_{ij} \) are the components of stress and strain, respectively, \( e_{kk} \) is dilatation, \( S \) is the entropy per unit mass, \( \beta_1 = (3\lambda + 2\mu)/\alpha_1 \) is the coefficient of thermal linear expansion. The phase lag of temperature gradient, the phase lag of heat flux, \( \alpha_1 \) is the two temperature parameter. In the above equations, a comma followed by suffix denotes a spatial derivative and a superposed dot denotes a derivative with respect to time.
III. FORMULATION AND SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a homogeneous isotropic thick plate of thickness $2b$ occupying the space defined by $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $-b \leq z \leq b$. Cylindrical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$ having origin on the surface $z = 0$, between the lower and upper surfaces of the plate and the $z$-axis is assumed to be the axis of symmetry. Due to symmetry about $z$-axis, component $u_\theta = 0$, and $u_r$, $u_z$ and $\phi$ are independent of $\theta$ and are functions of $(r, z, t)$. The initial temperature in the thick plate is given by a constant temperature $T_0$, and the heat flux $g_0F(r, z)$ is prescribed on the upper and lower boundary surfaces. For $t > 0$, heat is generated within the plate at the rate $f(r, t)$. We consider a normal source (ring source) which emanates from the origin of the coordinate axis and expands radically at constant rate $c$ over the surface. Under these conditions, thermoelastic quantities due to the ring load are to be determined. As the problem considered is two dimensional,

$$u = (u_r, 0, u_z).$$ (5)

Equations (1)-(2) with the aid of (5) take the form

$$(\lambda + \mu) \frac{\partial e}{\partial r} + \mu \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) u_r - \beta_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial t^2}$$ (6)

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial z} + \mu \nabla^2 u_z - \beta_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2}$$ (7)

$$(1 + \tau_r \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) K \nabla^2 T = \left(1 + \tau_q \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\tau_z}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \nabla^2 e$$ (8)

and Constitutive relations

$$\sigma_{rr} = 2\mu e_{rr} + \lambda e + \beta_1 (1 - a \nabla^2) e$$ (9)

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = 2\mu e_{\theta\theta} + \lambda e + \beta_1 (1 - a \nabla^2) e$$ (10)

$$\sigma_{zz} = 2\mu e_{zz} + \lambda e + \beta_1 (1 - a \nabla^2) e$$ (11)

$$\sigma_{rz} = \mu e_{rz}, \sigma_{r\theta} = 0, \sigma_{z\theta} = 0,$$ (12)

where $e = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{u_r}{r} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}$, $e_{rr} = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r}$, $e_{\theta\theta} = \frac{u_r}{r}$, $e_{zz} = \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}$, $e_{rz} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} \right)$

To facilitate the solution, the following dimensionless quantities are introduced

$$r' = \frac{\omega_1}{r}, z' = \frac{\omega_1}{z}, (u'_r, u'_z) = \frac{\omega_1}{c_1} (u_r, u_z), t' = \omega_1 t,$$  

$$\omega_1 = \frac{\rho C_E c_1^2}{K}, c_1^2 = \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sigma'_{rr}, \sigma'_{\theta\theta}, \sigma'_{zz}, \sigma'_{rz} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta_1 T_0} (\sigma_{rr}, \sigma_{\theta\theta}, \sigma_{zz}, \sigma_{rz}),$$

$$(T', \phi') = \frac{\beta_1}{\rho c_1^2} (T, \phi) (\tau_q', \tau_t') = \omega_1 (\tau_q, \tau_t)$$ (13)

We define Laplace and Hankel transform as

$$\hat{f} (r, z, s) = \int_0^\infty f (r, z, t) e^{-st} dt$$ (14)

$$\hat{f}^* (\xi, z, s) = \int_0^\infty f (r, z, s) r J_n (r \xi) dr$$ (15)

Using the dimensionless quantities defined by (13) in equations (6)-(8) and suppressing the primes for convenience and applying the Laplace transform defined by (14) on the resulting equations and simplifying, we obtain

$$\left( \nabla^2 - s^2 \right) \tilde{e} - \nabla^2 \tilde{\phi} + \delta_1 \nabla^4 \tilde{\phi} = 0$$ (16)

$$\tau_q^1 \xi_2 \tilde{e} + \tau_q^1 \xi_1 \tilde{\phi} - \left( \tau_q^1 \delta_1 - \tau_q^1 K \right) \nabla^2 \tilde{\phi} = 0$$ (17)

where $\tau_q^1 = 1 + s \tau_q + s^2 \tau_z, \tau_t^1 = 1 + s \tau_t, \xi_1 = \frac{\rho C_E c_1^2}{\omega_1}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{\beta_1 T_0 s}{c_1^2}, \delta_1 = \frac{\omega_1^2}{c_1^2}$

Eliminating $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{e}$ from equations (16)-(17), we obtain

$$\left( \nabla^2 - k_1^2 \right) \left( \nabla^2 - k_2^2 \right) \left( \tilde{e}, \tilde{\phi} \right) = 0$$ (18)

The solutions of the equation (18) can be written in the form

$$\tilde{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \xi_i, \tilde{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \phi_i, \xi_i, \phi_i,$$ where $\xi_i, \phi_i$ are solutions of the following equation

$$\left( \nabla^2 - k_i^2 \right) (\xi_i, \phi_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$$ (19)

On taking Hankel transform of (19) defined by (15), we obtain

$$\left( D^2 - \xi^2 - k_i^2 \right) \left( \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \right) = 0$$ (20)

The solution of (20) has the form

$$\tilde{e}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_i (\xi, s) \cosh (q_i z)$$ (21)

$$\tilde{\phi}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{2} d_i A_i (\xi, s) \cosh (q_i z)$$ (22)

where $q_i = \sqrt{\xi_i^2 + k_i^2}, d_i = \frac{\tau_q^1 q_i}{\tau_q^1 q_i - \tau_q^1 q_i}$, $\xi_3 = \tau_q^1 \delta_1 - \tau_t^1 k$. 
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We define the inversion of Hankel Transform as
\[ \tilde{f}(r, z, s) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{f}^* (\xi, z, s) \xi J_0 (r \xi) \, d\xi \]  
(23)

Applying inversion of Hankel transform defined by (23) on the equations (21)-(22), we obtain
\[ \bar{e} = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 A_i (\xi, s) \cosh (q_i z) \right\} \xi J_0 (\xi r) \, d\xi \quad (24) \]

Using (6)-(8), (13), (24)-(25), we obtain the components of displacement, stress components and conductive temperature, temperature change \( T \), cubic dilatation in the Laplace transform domain as
\[ \tilde{\phi} = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 d_i A_i (\xi, s) \cosh (q_i z) \right\} \xi J_0 (\xi r) \, d\xi \]  
(25)

\[ \bar{u}_r (r, z, s) = \int_0^\infty E (\xi, s) \cosh (qz) \xi J_0 (\xi r) \, d\xi + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[ \left( -\eta_i + \mu_i \right) q_i^2 \xi^2 \cosh (q_i z) \right] J_1 (\xi r) + \delta_1 \mu_i \cosh (q_i z) \left( \frac{\xi^3}{r} J_2 - J_1 \left( \xi^4 - \frac{\xi^2}{r^2} + \xi^2 q_i^2 \right) \right) \, d\xi \]  
(26)

\[ \bar{u}_z (r, z, s) = \int_0^\infty F (\xi, z) \sinh (qz) \xi J_0 (\xi r) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[ \left( -\eta_i + \mu_i \right) \sinh (q_i z) \xi J_0 (\xi r) \right] - \delta_1 \mu_i \sinh (q_i z) \left( \frac{\xi^2}{r} J_2 - J_1 \left( \xi^3 + \frac{\xi}{r} + \xi q_i^2 J_0 \right) \right) \, d\xi \]  
(27)

\[ \sigma_{zz} = \frac{2\mu}{\beta_1 T_0} \int_0^\infty \xi J_0 (\xi r) \left[ F (\xi, s) q \cosh (qz) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( -\eta_i + \mu_i \right) q_i^2 - \zeta d_i A_i + \lambda^0 A_i \right) \cosh (q_i z) \right] - \delta_1 (\mu_1 q_1 - \zeta B_1) \cosh (q_i z) \left( \xi^3 J_0 (\xi r) - J_1 (\xi r) \left( \frac{\xi - 1}{r} \right) + \xi q_i^2 J_0 (\xi r) \right) d\xi \]  
(28)

\[ \sigma_{rz} = \frac{\mu}{2\beta_1 T_0} \int_0^\infty \xi^2 J_1 (\xi r) \left[ \left( \frac{q^2 - \xi^2}{q} \right) E (\xi, s) q \sinh (qz) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( n_i - \mu_i \right) q_i \sinh (q_i z) + \delta_1 \mu_i \sinh (q_i z) \left( q_i \left( \frac{\xi^3}{r} J_2 (\xi r) \right) \right) - J_1 (\xi r) \left( \xi^4 + q_i^2 \xi^2 + \frac{4}{\xi^2 q_i^2} + q_i^2 \xi \right) + \left( \frac{2}{\xi r} - \xi - \frac{\xi^2 (\xi - 1)}{r} + q_i^2 \xi^2 \right) J_0 (\xi r) \right] \, d\xi \]  
(29)

\[ \sigma_{rr} = \frac{2\mu}{\beta_1 T_0} \int_0^\infty \xi^2 J_1 (\xi r) E (\xi, s) \cosh (qz) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( -\eta_i + \mu_i \right) q_i^2 (\xi^2 J_1 (\xi r) - \xi^3 J_0 (\xi r)) + \delta_1 \mu_i \left\{ J_1 (\xi r) \left( -\xi^2 (q_i^2 + \xi^2 - 3) + J_0 (\xi r) \xi^3 \left( q_i^2 + \frac{1}{\xi^2} + \xi^2 \right) \right) \right\} \, d\xi \]  
(30)

\[ \tilde{\phi} = \int_0^\infty \left( d_1 A_1 (\xi, s) \cosh (q_1 z) + d_2 A_2 (\xi, s) \cosh (q_2 z) \right) \xi J_0 (\xi r) \, d\xi \]  
(31)
where

\[ G(\xi, s) = \frac{\xi^2 E(\xi, s)}{q}, \quad q = \sqrt{\xi^2 + \frac{\mu c^2}{\lambda} s^2}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\rho c^2} A_i, \quad \mu_i = \frac{d_i A_i}{\left(\frac{\mu c^2}{\lambda} - s^2\right)}, \]

\[ \lambda^0 = \frac{\lambda}{\beta_1 T_0}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\rho c^2}{\beta_1 T_0}. \]

**IV. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

We consider a cubical thermal source and normal force of unit magnitude along with vanishing of tangential stress components at the stress free surface at \( z = \pm b \). Mathematically, these can be written as

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \pm g_0 F(r, z) \]  
\[ \sigma_{zz} = f(r, t) \]  
\[ \sigma_{rz} = 0 \]

**V. APPLICATION**

As an application, we consider a specific type of source function of the type

\[ F(r, z) = z^2 e^{-\omega r} \]  
\[ f(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \delta(t - r), \]

where \( \delta(t - r) \) is the Dirac delta function.

Applying Laplace transform and Hankel transform defined by (14)-(15), on the equations (35)-(36), we obtain

\[ \bar{F}^*(\xi, z) = \frac{z^2 \omega}{(\xi^2 + \omega^2)^{3/2}}, \]  
\[ \bar{f}^*(\xi, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\xi^2 + s^2}}. \]

Applying Laplace transform and Hankel transform on the boundary conditions (32)-(34), we obtain and substitute the values of \( \delta, \bar{\sigma}_{zz}, \bar{\sigma}_{rz} \), in (34)-(36), we obtain the values of unknown parameters as

\[ A_1 = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}, A_2 = \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta}, E(\xi, s) = \frac{\Delta_4}{\Delta}, \]

\[ \Delta = -\frac{2\mu}{\beta_1 T_0} \cosh(qb) (\Delta_{11} \Delta_{32} - \Delta_{12} \Delta_{31}) + \sinh(qb) (\Delta_{11} \Delta_{22} - \Delta_{12} \Delta_{21}) \]

\[ \Delta_{11} = g_0 \bar{F}^*(\xi, z) (\Delta_{21} \Delta_{32} - \Delta_{22} \Delta_{31}) - \bar{f}^*(\xi, s) (\Delta_{11} \Delta_{32} - \Delta_{12} \Delta_{31}) \]

\[ \Delta_{2} = -g_0 \bar{F}^*(\xi, z) \left( \frac{2\mu}{\beta_1 T_0} \cosh(qb) \Delta_{32} - \sinh(qb) \Delta_{22} \sinh(qb) \right) + \bar{f}^*(\xi, s) (-\Delta_{12} \sinh(qb)) \]

\[ \Delta_{3} = g_0 \bar{F}^*(\xi, z) \left( \frac{2\mu}{\beta_1 T_0} \cosh(qb) \Delta_{31} - \Delta_{21} \sinh(qb) \right) + \bar{f}^*(\xi, s) (\Delta_{11} \sinh(qb)) \]

\[ \Delta_{1i} = d_i q_i \sinh(q_i) \sinh(q_i), \quad \Delta_{2i} = ((\mu_i - \eta_i) q_i^2 - \delta_1 \mu_i q_i - \zeta d_i (1 + \delta_1) + \lambda \sinh(q_i)) \sinh(q_i), \]

\[ \tau_\phi = \tau_\sigma = 0 \text{ then dual phase lag thermal model (DPLT) model reduce to single-phase-lag thermal model (SPLT)} \]

\[ (i) \quad \text{If } \tau_\phi = 0, \text{ from equations (25)-(30), we obtain the corresponding expressions for displacements, and stresses, conductive temperature, temperature change and cubic dilatation for thermoelastic solid without two temperature and due to a dual phase lag.} \]

\[ (ii) \quad \text{If } \tau_\phi = \tau_\sigma = 0, \text{ we obtain the coupled expression in thermoelasticity with a two temperature model.} \]

\[ (iii) \quad \text{For } \tau_\phi = 0 \text{ then dual phase lag thermal model (DPLT) model reduce to single-phase-lag thermal model (SPLT)} \]
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VII. INVERSION OF DOUBLE TRANSFORM

Due to the complexity of the solution in the Laplace transform domain, the inverse of the Laplace transform is obtained by using the Gaver-Stehfast algorithm. [32-34] derived the formula given below. By this method, the inverse of Laplace transform \( \hat{f}(s) \) is approximated by

\[
f(t) = \log_2 t \sum_{j=1}^{k} D(j, K) F\left( j \frac{\log_2 t}{t} \right)
\]

with

\[
D(j, K) = (-1)^{j+M} \times \sum_{n=m}^{\min(j,M)} \frac{n^M (2n)!}{(M-n)!n!(n-1)!(j-n)!(2n-j)!},
\]

Where \( K \) is an even integer, whose value depends on the word length of the computer used. \( M = K/2 \) and \( m \) is an integer part of \((j + 1)/2\). The optimal value of \( K \) was chosen as described in the Gaver-Stehfast algorithm for fast convergence of results with desired accuracy. The Romberg numerical integration technique (Press et. al. [35]) with variable step size was used to evaluate the results involved.

VIII. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mathematical model is prepared with copper material for purposes of numerical computation. The material constants for the problem are taken from Dhaliwal and Singh [36].

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda &= 7.76 \times 10^{10} \text{Nm}^{-2}, \\
\mu &= 3.86 \times 10^{10} \text{Nm}^{-2}, \\
K &= 386 JK^{-1} \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, \\
\beta_1 &= 5.518 \times 10^6 \text{Nm}^{-2} \text{deg}^{-1}, \\
\rho &= 8954 \text{Kg m}^{-3}, \\
a &= 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{m}^2/\text{s}^2 \text{k}, \\
b &= 0.9 \times 10^6 \text{m}^5/\text{kg} \text{s}^2, \\
D &= 0.88 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg s/m}^3, \\
\beta_2 &= 61.38 \times 10^6 \text{Nm}^{-2} \text{deg}^{-1}, \\
T_0 &= 293K, \\
C_E &= 383.1 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

The graphs have been plotted to study the effect of two temperatures on a dual phase lag thermal (DPLT) model and a single phase lag thermal (SPLT) model on the various quantities in the range \( 0 \leq r \leq 10 \).

1. In the figures the solid line corresponds to the dual-phase-lag of heat transfer with two temperature (DPLT, \( a = .06 \)).
2. The small dashed line corresponds to the single-phase-lag of heat transfer with two temperature (SPLT, \( a = .06 \)).
Fig. 3. Variation of stress component $\sigma_{zz}$ with distance $r$

Fig. 4. Variations of stress component $\sigma_{rz}$ with distance $r$

Fig. 5. Variation of conductive temperature $\phi$ with distance $r$

Fig. 6. Variations of temperature change $T$ with distance $r$
Fig. 7. Variations of cubic dilatation $e$ with distance $r$

Fig. 8. Variations of stress component $\sigma_{rr}$ with distance $r$

3. The solid line with a centre symbol circle corresponds to dual-phase-lag of heat transfer without two temperature (DPLT, $a = 0$).

4. The small dashed line with a centre symbol diamond corresponds to single-phase-lag of heat transfer with two temperature (SPLT, $a = 0$).

Fig. 1. exhibits variations of displacement component $u_r$ with distance $r$. Here we notice that, away from the loading surface, DPLT and SPLT follow opposite oscillatory behaviour corresponding to both the cases, i.e. with two temperature and without two temperature. Fig. 2 shows variations of displacement component $u_z$ with distance $r$. It is noticed that variations of $u_z$ owing to DPLT corresponding to both the cases decrease as $r$ increases. Amplitude of oscillation corresponding to SPLT is greater as compared to DPLT; however, the behaviour is opposite oscillatory for the whole range corresponding to the models DPLT and SPLT. DPLT (SPLT) models, with two temperature and without two temperature, follow similar oscillatory trends with change in amplitude of oscillation. Fig. 3. shows Variation of stress component $\sigma_{zz}$ with distance $r$. We find that there is a sharp increase for the range $0 \leq r \leq 2$ in $\sigma_{zz}$ corresponding to DPLT in both the cases $a = 0$ and $a = .06$ and trends are oscillatory with a decrease in amplitude. Corresponding to the case of SPLT, for $a = 0$ and $a = .06$, trends are similar oscillatory with a difference in amplitude. However, DPLT and SPLT show opposite oscillatory trends. Fig. 4. gives variations of stress component $\sigma_{rz}$ with distance $r$. It is evident from this figure that there are small variations in $\sigma_{rz}$ corresponding to DPLT and SPLT for $a = .06$, whereas for $a = 0$, the pattern is opposite oscillatory. Fig. 5. gives variation of conductive temperature $\phi$ with distance $r$. Here in this case we notice that either there are sudden increases and decreases or there are small variations. Here descents are observed at the points $r = .5$ and $r = 2.5$ and hikes are observed at the points $r = 6.5$ and $r = 9$. With two temperatures there are hikes and descents while without two temperature there are small variations. Fig. 6. exhibits Variations of temperature change $T$ with displacement $r$. Here there is a hike at the point $r = 1$ and descents at the points $r = 2.5$, $r = 4.5$, $r = 6.5$ and a small hike is observed at $r = 9$ and small variations are observed for the remaining range except the small neighbourhoods of these points. Fig. 7 shows Variations of cubic dilatation $e$ with displacement $r$. Here, also either are small variations or sudden increase and decrease. Fig. 8. exhibits variations of stress component $\sigma_{rr}$ with distance $r$. Here opposite trends are observed corresponding to the cases of without two temperature and with two temperature. As it is evident that without two temperature there is a descent at the point $.5$ whereas there is a hike at the same point in case of two temperature. While comparing the effect of phase lags, the trends are similar in both cases.

IX. CONCLUSION

From the graphs it is evident that:

(i) There is a significant impact of two temperatures and phase lags (DPLT and SPLT) on behaviour of deformation on various components of stresses, components of displacement, conductive temperature, temperature,
change and cubic dilatation in the ring.

(ii) DPLT(SPLT) for \( a = 0 \) and \( a = 0.06 \) follow opposite oscillatory trends in case of \( u_r, v_z, \sigma_{rr}, \sigma_{zz} \) and \( \sigma_{rr} \). While from the graphs of \( \phi, o, T \) the trends of DPLT and SPLT in both the cases \( a = 0 \) and \( a = 0.06 \) are observed to be similar oscillatory.

(iii) We notice from the fluctuation in \( \sigma_{rr}, \phi, o, T \) that either the variations are very small or result in sudden hikes and dumps whereas in the rest of the cases variations move smoothly.

(iv) The use of thermal phase-lags in the heat conduction equation gives a more realistic model of thermoelastic media as it allows a delayed response to the relative heat flux vector.

The result of the problem is useful in the two dimensional problem of dynamic response due to various thermal and mechanical sources which has various geophysical and industrial applications.
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